

Glastonbury Landowners Association
Road Committee
MINUTES Tuesday – February 3, 2026 – 6:00 PM

Call to Order (6:03pm)

1. Committee Members Present - Zane Curry, Tyson Wright, Walter Wunsch, Guy Gravert, Scott Stomierowski, Jack Sutton

2. Landowners Present - James Everett, Leslie Everett, Alicia Dearing, Ryan Kinsports [Kinports](#)

3. Landowner Comment on None-Agenda Items

4. Safety sign discussion and vote

- a. **Walter Wunsch: What should we do with the existing signs?** Some believe that all of the signs should be removed since they are not applicable for private roads. Randy said he can take them all down for \$40 per hour. Discussion on which signs to keep. Walter believes some of the speed limit signs are ok. There should be a speed limit sign more at the beginning of Hercules rather than where it is now. Zane Curry believes all of the speed limit signs should remain. Tyson Wright asks why the signs need to be removed? Zane responds that there are a few that are actually dangerous due to their placement. The ones at the bottom of Hercules and the one at the bottom of Sirius are the most dangerous. The one at Sirius has already been removed; unsure by whom. There is one intersection where there are yield and stop signs that are causing issues. Which one is it? Some make sense. There is a stop sign on a private driveway on Gemini. There are stop signs on downhill slopes that cause issues. Many of the signs make any logical sense to be there. Tyson feels that even with a stop at the bottom of the hill, he believes the intention to slow people down is still valid. The signs that are taken down will be stored and used for future purposes.

MOTION: Walter makes the motion to remove the bottom Stop sign at the bottom of Hercules and the bottom of Sirius for salvage and future use. Zane seconds.

Discussion: Alicia Dearing asked if the committee originally agreed on placement of these signs originally. Tyson said a spreadsheet went out for about 30 signs in North and 30 in South. Then it got cut down by the committee to about 15 each for North and South. The placement was agreed upon by the committee.

In Favor: Zane Curry, Walter Wunsch, Guy Gravert, Scott Stomierowski

Not In Favor: Tyson Wright

Abstain: Jack Sutton - he has not seen the signs and cannot weigh in

Motion Carries.

[maybe include image on #3 with minutes](#)

- b. **Sign samples presented by Walter to the committee.** Would you like to proceed with these signs? What is your preferred image? Walter prefers #3. Bottom of Hercules, entry to Arcturus, when you go from Hercules to Skyway, once you begin to climb Gemini, going up Sirius North



[should be from Dry Creek to Hercules](#)

[should be on Sirius uphill](#)

and South entrance. Six signs total. ~~?? Attach photo of signs~~ Discussion ensues about verbiage of sign. Zane suggests changing it to “travel at your own risk.” Tyson suggests just doing the 4 signs he doesn't believe you need signs at Skyway and Gemini.

MOTION: Walter motions we accept sign #3 with the verbiage changed to “travel at your own risk” North Glastonbury, the main ramp, bottom of Hercules, and entrance of Arcturus. 48” x ??” reflective signs. Guy seconded.

Discussion: Alicia feels that there should be some signage on Gemini and Skyway. Tyson explains that there are already signs on those roads. Walter is donating the signs. The signs were developed by Kate Thorpe. Kate has a professional background in this type of project.

All in Favor. Motion Carries.

5. Snow fence update

- a. Walter spoke to Randy; he has done all of the repairs.

6. Road maintenance planning

- a. **Term contract discussion.** Discussion around spring grading. Graded, laded, and mag chloride added per needed. Walter got quotes from Twisted Pines, John Maleen?? (Mill Creek Melin Traucht Construction), and Randy Traught. Randy was the lowest bid. Randy will rent a water truck and he located a blade that he can purchase. Walter and Regina will loan him the money for the purchase of these materials; there are no strings attached to this loan. Randy lives in the community and has lived here for 8 years.

MOTION: Walter motions that we accept Randy’s bid to complete this work.

~~**Discussion:** Alicia feels that there should be some signage on Gemini and Skyway. Tyson explains that there are already signs on those roads. Walter is donating the signs. The signs were developed by Kate Thorpe. Kate has a professional background in these types of projects.~~ Walter will also solicit donations for the materials from landowners that live off the roads that will be graded. John Maleen?? comes highly recommended by Scott, Tyson, and Zane, but he was more expensive in his quotes. Zane feels it would be wise for us to have a multi-year contract with contractors, so we do not have to go out every year and we can have contractors that develop relationships and work on long-term planning alongside the association. Zane doesn't feel we are ready to offer up a contract for this work and we should continue to develop relationships with these contractors. Walter feels that Randy is the best choice because he lives on the mountain in our neighborhood and he is also the kind of guy that would go out and fix it without charging. He is an honest contractor. Tyson believes it boils down to whether or not Randy with a blade can do the job. Tyson had a positive experience working with Randy last year, and he trusts that he could do the work. Walter has a huge commercial snow blower for his own use and will loan it to Randy for use. It throws 200ft of snow to each side. Rob McDonald?? has not been communicating well with Walter. He is supposed to let Walter know when he starts or completes work, but he has not been in contact. Tyson points out that it was not a good start to the relationship by challenging an invoice. Walter says the invoice was criminal. Zane asked, previous to Walter’s communications with Rob, to explain his invoice. Zane reached out three separate times and Rob has continued to push it out and Zane has still not met with

him. Zane feels every opportunity to explain the invoice has been given, and Rob has not followed up ~~nor asked for payment of the invoice.~~ Zane believes Randy's work was just as good as Standish with the equipment he currently has. Zane suggests we gather more information and circle back March or April for May / June work depending on weather.

No second. Motion Fails.

b. Equipment purchase discussion

c. Contractor identification discussion

7. Road Policy discussion

- a. The current vs. 2008 Road Policy.** Zane feels that the current one feels that it is great that it is very clean, but his concern with the new one with the priorities of the policy. Priorities "landowner safety and access" remain the priorities. His challenge is that it is really sweeping in broad and that any landowner could read that policy, that they don't have safe access to my parcel and the GLA must do this... The 2008 got into useful specifics about defining road ways, but he believes the verbiage is a bit too verbose. Leslie and Alicia believe strongly that it should have been brought forward for a 30-day review process before such an important Road Policy is changed. Gov Docs will also take up the Road Policy for review.

8. Snow removal expense tracking discussion.

- a. Nothing to discuss here. We have received invoices. Both contractors did their jobs and sent their invoices out and we have had no snow events since then. The event we had the other day, Randy did on his own time. The total spent since the Road Committee last met in early January was \$600 total for NG and SG. ~~I missed the specifics ?? please insert specific prices.~~

9. Landowner closing comments

10. Zane suggests scheduling the next meeting for the 1st Tuesday of March, March 3, at 6pm.

Meeting adjourns at 7:39pm