

GLA Project Review Committee Meeting
March, 6th 2017

Meeting Call to Order: 7:04 PM

Project Review Committee Meeting Members in Attendance: Kevin N. **Co-Chair**, Gerald D. **Co-Chair**, **Board Members:** Paul R, Leo K, Ed D. **Landowners:** Sally M, Joe T. Claudette D. Donna A, Debbie B, la W. Jeff N (arrived at 7:15 PM)

Meeting called to order by Kevin N.

Landowner Input: Donna A. objected to Landowner input saying committee meetings were the place for landowners to voice concerns. She was assured landowner input would be taken throughout the meeting.

No applications for approval at this time.

Kevin explained how important it is to check all boxes on the Project Review application form.

Sally M. proposed that project applicants obtain approval of their project from their neighbors in the subdivision they are part of and this would eliminate future complaints made from landowners in that subdivision by documentation, plus it would save money and time for the project review committee to review the project.

Claudette D. asked the committee where the subdivision covenants come from.

Leo K. explained that most subdivisions that are recorded by the county have covenants.

la W. asked who is in charge of managing Iona, general consensus is Charlene M.

Debbie B. asked why Iona is working on Project Review Applications when we have a Committee that can do the work. Kevin N. explained that we have revised our Project Review application fee schedule to include the extra expense of admin assistant. The admin assistant reviews the application to make sure it is correct. Then the Project Review Chair double checks it again for accuracy.

Debbie B. and la W. want the Board to do more administration work to lower admin expenses. Kevin N. explained that the Project Review Committee Chairs and Board members job is out in the field checking setbacks and property lines.

Debbie B. also stated Mark S. did not give the list of delinquent landowners in Feb.

Claudette D. asked if the GLA website is on the Project Review application.

Sally M. asked how the tower house in North G got passed. In the future, should surrounding landowners receive a postcard/letter explaining what is being built?

Kevin N. said this is the 4th or 5th time the postcard issue has been brought up. The general consensus in the room was yes, but no motion to recommend it was made.

Ia W. asked why Charlotte M. told her to bring her Marious G. complaint to a Committee meeting. What is the conflict of interest policy with Board members working as Contractors (Paul R. did work for Marious G) and how did Marious G. Project Review Application get passed. What is the policy of Board Members trespassing?

Gerald D. responded that we never set foot on someone's property without notifying them first.

Joe T. insists that we get an engineer to sign off on the easement driveway that his neighbor wants to install on his property. Joe T. is worried about water runoff and does not want any water from the neighbor's property damaging or restricting use of his land nor across Leo Dr.

Leo explained his point of view on the Marious G. and Chris and Ia W. conflict. Leo also explained his concern on the liability of installing a culvert across Capricorn exceeding the 60 foot easement and going onto Michelle M. property. Paul stated that Michelle M. is willing to let us correct the drainage issue.

Jeff N. showed Kevin, Gerald, and Paul his copied plot map from the county and his drawing of the pole barn building he wants to build.

Kevin N. explained that we need all Project Review applications submitted 1 week prior to the Project Review meeting to process the application.

Meeting adjourned at 9:00 PM