

GLA Project Review Committee Meeting Minutes

Wednesday Aug. 7, 2024

Committee members present: John Carp, Jack Sutton, Phil Pickens, Scott Stomieroski,

Committee members absent: Doug Gill

Landowners' phone-in: Ciara Wallace, Tyne Flannigan (Ciara Wallace fiancé), Robert Wallace, George Makris, Jenny White

Meeting called to order by John at 7:05 pm.

1. Makris SG-20A guest house application review. Georg Makris is requesting a variance for his drainfield setback from the required 50 ft. to 20 ft. The committee did not approve the project at this time. Phil had concerns about the drainfield location. Scott and Jack will visit the property and look the terrain and location of the drainfield in respect to the neighbor, who would potentially be affected by the reduced drainfield setback were he to decide to install a well. The committee also requested George to speak to his neighbor to determine if there are plans for any wells on that lot. George said others in the area had tried to drill two wells but came up dry. The committee will review his app again via email once the information is gathered.

2. Wallace NG 53C guest house application review. The application was in order and all requirements met. There was some concern over their private subdivision requirement of only one driveway access onto Aquarius, while they have two. Robert stated the south access is not used in the winter as drifting snow blocks it, even with a fence. He said they would aim to use the north access exclusively. Scott motioned and Jack seconded that the project application be approved. Motion passed unanimously.

3. Donald Helmbrecht and Jenny White SG 94 guest house application review. This project was begun by the previous owners and the Helmbrecht's are finishing it. There was some concern over the setback on the foundation, whether it met the 50 ft. requirement. As measured from Google earth it appeared to be short. Scott and Jack agreed to meet with them and measure the setback, before approval would be given. They will report back to the committee.

4. Review status of shelters as dwelling units for assessments: The committee felt that assessing all shelters, whether used as housing or not, would not be viable. They did feel that if a particular shelter is known to be housing residents, that particular situation may be looked into by the board. More input will be sought from the entire Board on the issue.

Meeting adjourned by John at 8:30 pm.

